













# Nutrition and Cancer Risk and Progression

- Cancer etiology is multifactorial both genetic susceptibility and a variety of environmental factors determine risk
- Accumulated evidence suggests that approximately 30-40% of cases are potentially preventable by modifying food choices and nutritional factors
- Disentangling effects of various foods, specific dietary constituents and associated lifestyle factors is challenging





# The Continuum of Cancer Survivorship

Nutritional needs, issues and challenges change over the course of survivorship:

- During active treatment and recovery
- Long-term disease-free living
  - Reduce risk for cancer recurrence and progression
  - · Prevention and treatment of comorbidities
- Advanced cancer and end-of-life

# Emerging Evidence from Observational Studies

- Dietary patterns, rather than specific nutrients: For example, evidence suggests that a prudent/healthy dietary pattern (vegetables, fruit, poultry, fish, low-fat dairy foods and whole grains) is associated with lower risk for cancer and greater likelihood of survival after diagnosis
- Current patterns of overweight and obesity in the U.S. could account for 14% of all deaths from cancer in men and 20% of those in women

### Obesity and Cancer Risk and Progression

- Overweight/obesity is associated with mortality from cancer of the esophagus, colon and rectum, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, kidney, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myeloma; stomach and prostate (men); breast, uterus, cervix and ovary (women)
- Cancer-related biomarkers respond to even a modest degree of intentional weight loss, suggesting a reduction in cancer risk even with latencies as short as a few years















# **WINS Adherence**

- At 12 months, self-reported fat intake averaged 33.3 g/day (approximately 20.3% energy intake) in the intervention group vs. 51.3 g/day (approximately 29.2% energy intake) in controls
- Incomplete follow-up data on dietary adherence: 67% of the intervention group and 74% of controls at year 3



















### Reducing Breast Cancer Recurrence with Weight Loss: A Vanguard Trial

- Exercise and Nutrition to Enhance Recovery and Good Health for You (ENERGY) Trial
- A randomized controlled study with the primary endpoint of clinically significant weight loss in 692 overweight or obese breast cancer survivors, with demonstration of improvements in quality of life and co-morbidities

NIH/NCI: R01 CA148791 Rock



### **ENERGY** Trial Specific aims Conduct a 4-year vanguard randomized controlled trial with the primary endpoint of sustained weight loss in breast cancer survivors, following all subjects for 2years post-randomization Evaluate weight loss at 24 months according to time since diagnosis, type of tumor and type of therapy Assess the impact of the intervention on QOL, particularly physical functioning and fatigue Prospectively collect blood and DNA samples to examine effects on hormones and other factors to explain the mechanism and probable differential response across subgroups Originally designed as a vanguard component of a fullypowered trial of 2500 women to be evaluated for breast cancer recurrence endpoints Sites: UCSD (Rock) also Coordinating Center; UC Denver (Byers); Washington Univ. (Colditz), Univ. of Alabama (Demark-Wahnefried)





	Weight (Ibs) Mean (SD)	Weight Loss (%)* Mean (SD)
Baseline		
Intervention (n=344)	187.0 (31.5)	
Usual Care (n=348 )	186.3 (30.3)	
6 months		
Intervention (n=296)	174.3 (31.0)***	-6.3 (5.4)***
Usual Care (n=283)	182.8 (30.0)***	-1.3 (4.8)***
12 months		
Intervention (n=278)	174.5 (32.3)**	-6.3 (6.7)***
Usual Care (n=252 )	180.7 (29.9)**	-2.0 (6.6)***

Godin, Mean (SD)	Intervention	Usual Care			
Moderate exercise (min/week)					
Baseline	64.6 (99.4)	67.8 (97.7)			
6 months	127.8 (143.0)**	82.4 (104.1)**			
12 months	113.0 (133.2)**	69.4 (101.9)**			
Strenuous exercise (mi	n/week)				
Baseline	27.6 (63.3)	33.3 (86.6)			
6 months	75.0 (115.0)*	48.7 (104.5)*			
12 months	65.3 (107.8)*	42.3 (89.5)*			

















 Across the four centers, two (at UCSD and Univ. of Penn.) are focused on energy balance and weight loss interventions in breast cancer prevention and control





- Designed to determine the effects of a structured physical activity intervention on outcomes for survivors of high-risk stage II or III colon cancer who have completed adjuvant therapy within the past 2-6 months, endpoint is disease-free survival
- Participants (N=962) randomly assigned to a structured physical activity intervention or general health education materials
- Intervention consists of a behavioral support program and supervised sessions delivered over a 3-year period
- National Cancer Center Institute of Canada, trial being conducted in Canada and Australia

Courneya et al. Current Oncol 2008;15:1







Treatment group	Weight (kg) $(N = 35)$		BMI-z (N $=$ 35)	
	Values	P-value	Values	P-value
Fit4Life	Baseline ( $N = 18$ ):	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	Baseline (N $=$ 18):	0.13 <sup>a</sup>
	65.6 (19.5)		+1.84(0.32)	
	4 months:		4 months:	
0.1	65.5 (18.8)		+1.77(0.36)	
Control	Baseline $(N = 17)$ :		Baseline $(N = 1/)$ :	
	/0 (17.6)		+2.00(0.41)	
	4 months:		4 months:	
ontrol	4 months: 65.5 (18.8) Baseline (N = 17): 70 (17.6) 4 months:		+1.84 (0.32) 4 months: +1.77 (0.36) Baseline $(N = 17)$ : +2.00 (0.41) 4 months:	



# **Comments and Considerations**

#### Limitations of observational studies

- Confounding: Difficult if not impossible to control for other influencing factors, clustering of behaviors
- Obesity is inextricably linked to behavioral determinants: Energy restriction versus physical activity versus adiposity
- Measurements are crude

#### Limitations of randomized clinical trials

- With diet intervention trials, the intervention effort itself is being tested, in addition to cancer outcomes; degree of change may not be sufficient to have a biological effect
- Time frame of the intervention: Length of trial may be too short, relative to the long latency of most cancers
- Effect modification by baseline status

